

Combined 3-Year Education Plan and Annual Education Results Report (AERR) for Public, Separate and Francophone Schools

October 2010 Accountability Pillar Overall Summary (OPTIONAL)

Goal	Measure Category	Measure Category Evaluation	Measure	Condor Elementary School			Alberta			Measure Evaluation		
				Current Result	Prev Year Result	Prev 3 yr Average	Current Result	Prev Year Result	Prev 3 Yr Average	Achievement	Improvement	Overall
Goal 1: High-quality learning opportunities	Safe and Caring Schools	Excellent	Safe and Caring	92.7	93.6	92.0	87.6	86.9	85.4	Very High	Maintained	Excellent
	Student Learning Opportunities	n/a	Program of Studies	68.2	66.5	74.0	80.5	80.3	79.4	Low	Maintained	Issue
			Education Quality	88.0	94.4	91.0	89.2	89.3	88.4	High	Maintained	Good
			Drop Out Rate	n/a	n/a	n/a	4.3	4.8	4.8	n/a	n/a	n/a
			High School Completion Rate (3 yr)	n/a	n/a	n/a	71.5	70.8	70.9	n/a	n/a	n/a
Goal 2: Excellence in student learning outcomes	Student Learning Achievement (Grades K-9)	Issue	PAT: Acceptable	84.5	76.4	80.6	79.1	78.3	77.2	Intermediate	Maintained	Acceptable
			PAT: Excellence	8.6	5.5	11.2	19.4	18.3	18.2	Very Low	Maintained	Concern
	Student Learning Achievement (Grades 10-12)	n/a	Diploma: Acceptable	n/a	n/a	n/a	83.4	84.3	84.3	n/a	n/a	n/a
			Diploma: Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	19.0	18.5	19.2	n/a	n/a	n/a
			Diploma Exam Participation Rate (4+ Exams)	n/a	n/a	n/a	53.5	53.3	53.6	n/a	n/a	n/a
			Rutherford Scholarship Eligibility Rate (Revised)	n/a	n/a	n/a	56.9	57.3	56.7	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Preparation for Lifelong Learning, World of Work, Citizenship	n/a	Transition Rate (6 yr)	n/a	n/a	n/a	59.8	59.2	58.7	n/a	n/a	n/a
			Work Preparation	74.3	75.0	76.3	79.9	79.6	78.9	Intermediate	Maintained	Acceptable
			Citizenship	84.9	92.0	89.4	81.4	80.3	78.3	Very High	Maintained	Excellent
Goal 4: Highly responsive and responsible education system	Parental Involvement	Concern	Parental Involvement	70.7	76.2	82.2	80.0	80.1	78.6	Very Low	Maintained	Concern
	Continuous Improvement	Excellent	School Improvement	81.8	82.7	86.7	79.9	79.4	77.6	Very High	Maintained	Excellent

Notes:

1. PAT results are a weighted average of the percent meeting standards (Acceptable, Excellence) on Provincial Achievement Tests. The weights are the number of students enrolled in each course. Courses included: English Language Arts (Grades 3, 6, 9), Science (Grades 6, 9), French Language Arts (Grades 6, 9), Français (Grades 6, 9).
2. Diploma results are a weighted average of percent meeting standards (Acceptable, Excellence) on Diploma Examinations. The weights are the number of students writing the Diploma Examination for each course. Courses included: English Language Arts 30-1, English Language Arts 30-2, French Language Arts 30-1, Français 30-1, Pure Mathematics 30, Applied Mathematics 30, Biology 30, Science 30.
3. Overall evaluations can only be calculated if both improvement and achievement evaluations are available.
4. The subsequent pages include evaluations for each performance measure. If jurisdictions desire not to present this information for each performance measure in the subsequent pages, please include a reference to this overall summary page for each performance measure.
5. Data values have been suppressed where the number of students is less than 6. Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).

School: 4103 Condor Elementary School

Measure Evaluation Reference (Optional)

Achievement Evaluation

Achievement evaluation is based upon a comparison of Current Year data to a set of standards which remain consistent over time. The Standards are calculated by taking the 3 year average of baseline data for each measure across all school jurisdictions and calculating the 5th, 25th, 75th and 95th percentiles. Once calculated, these standards remain in place from year to year to allow for consistent planning and evaluation.

The table below shows the range of values defining the 5 achievement evaluation levels for each measure.

Measure	Very Low	Low	Intermediate	High	Very High
Safe and Caring	0.00 - 77.62	77.62 - 81.05	81.05 - 84.50	84.50 - 88.03	88.03 - 100.00
Program of Studies	0.00 - 66.31	66.31 - 72.65	72.65 - 78.43	78.43 - 81.59	81.59 - 100.00
Education Quality	0.00 - 80.94	80.94 - 84.23	84.23 - 87.23	87.23 - 89.60	89.60 - 100.00
Drop Out Rate	100.00 - 9.40	9.40 - 6.90	6.90 - 4.27	4.27 - 2.79	2.79 - 0.00
High School Completion Rate (3 yr)	0.00 - 57.03	57.03 - 62.36	62.36 - 73.88	73.88 - 81.79	81.79 - 100.00
PAT: Acceptable	0.00 - 65.53	65.53 - 78.44	78.44 - 85.13	85.13 - 89.49	89.49 - 100.00
PAT: Excellence	0.00 - 9.19	9.19 - 11.96	11.96 - 17.99	17.99 - 22.45	22.45 - 100.00
Diploma: Acceptable	0.00 - 74.42	74.42 - 83.58	83.58 - 88.03	88.03 - 92.35	92.35 - 100.00
Diploma: Excellence	0.00 - 10.31	10.31 - 15.67	15.67 - 20.27	20.27 - 23.77	23.77 - 100.00
Diploma Exam Participation Rate (4+ Exams)	0.00 - 31.10	31.10 - 44.11	44.11 - 55.78	55.78 - 65.99	65.99 - 100.00
Rutherford Scholarship Eligibility Rate (Revised)	0.00 - 43.18	43.18 - 49.83	49.83 - 59.41	59.41 - 70.55	70.55 - 100.00
Transition Rate (6 yr)	0.00 - 39.80	39.80 - 46.94	46.94 - 56.15	56.15 - 68.34	68.34 - 100.00
Work Preparation	0.00 - 66.92	66.92 - 72.78	72.78 - 77.78	77.78 - 86.13	86.13 - 100.00
Citizenship	0.00 - 66.30	66.30 - 71.63	71.63 - 77.50	77.50 - 81.08	81.08 - 100.00
Parental Involvement	0.00 - 70.76	70.76 - 74.58	74.58 - 78.50	78.50 - 82.30	82.30 - 100.00
School Improvement	0.00 - 65.25	65.25 - 70.85	70.85 - 76.28	76.28 - 80.41	80.41 - 100.00

Notes:

- For all measures except Drop Out Rate: The range of values at each evaluation level is interpreted as greater than or equal to the lower value, and less than the higher value. For the Very High evaluation level, values range from greater than the lower value to 100%.
- Drop Out Rate measure: As "Drop-out Rate" is inverse to most measures (i.e. lower values are "better"), the range of values at each evaluation level is interpreted as greater than the lower value and less than or equal to the higher value. For the Very High evaluation level, values range from 0% to less than or equal to the higher value.

Improvement Table

For each jurisdiction, improvement evaluation consists of comparing the Current Year result for each measure with the previous three-year average. A chi-square statistical test is used to determine the significance of the improvement. This test takes into account the size of the jurisdiction in the calculation to make improvement evaluation fair across jurisdictions of different sizes.

The table below shows the definition of the 5 improvement evaluation levels based upon the chi-square result.

Evaluation Category	Chi-Square Range
Declined Significantly	3.84 + (current < previous 3-year average)
Declined	1.00 - 3.83 (current < previous 3-year average)
Maintained	less than 1.00
Improved	1.00 - 3.83 (current > previous 3-year average)
Improved Significantly	3.84 + (current > previous 3-year average)

Overall Evaluation Table

The overall evaluation combines the Achievement Evaluation and the Improvement Evaluation. The table below illustrates how the Achievement and Improvement evaluations are combined to get the overall evaluation.

Improvement	Achievement				
	Very High	High	Intermediate	Low	Very Low
Improved Significantly	Excellent	Good	Good	Good	Acceptable
Improved	Excellent	Good	Good	Acceptable	Issue
Maintained	Excellent	Good	Acceptable	Issue	Concern
Declined	Good	Acceptable	Issue	Issue	Concern
Declined Significantly	Acceptable	Issue	Issue	Concern	Concern

Category Evaluation

The category evaluation is an average of the Overall Evaluation of the measures that make up the category. For the purpose of the calculation, consider an Overall Evaluation of Excellent to be 2, Good to be 1, Acceptable to be 0, Issue to be -1, and Concern to be -2. The simple average (mean) of these values rounded to the nearest integer produces the Category Evaluation value. This is converted back to a colour using the same scale above (e.g. 2=Excellent, 1=Good, 0=Intermediate, -1=Issue, -2=Concern).

Goal One: High Quality Learning Opportunities

Outcome: Schools environments are safe and caring.

Performance Measure	Results (in percentages)					Target ¹	Evaluation			Targets ²		
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2010	Achievement	Improvement	Overall	2011	2012	2013
Percentage of teacher, parent and student agreement that: students are safe at school, are learning the importance of caring for others, are learning respect for others and are treated fairly in school.	91.1	86.5	95.9	93.6	92.7		Very High	Maintained	Excellent	93	94	94

Strategies

- maintain current trends
- whole school modelling in speech and action
- implement character education plan for whole school


Note:

For survey measures, schools may report overall results aggregated across respondent groups or results for each respondent group, whichever they prefer. Including the evaluation of results is optional for schools.

¹ If school had set a target for 2009/10 in the three year education plan 2009/10 – 2011/12, include it in the space provided for each required measure.

² If school had set targets for 2010/11 – 2012/13, include it in the space provided for each required measure.

Measure Details (OPTIONAL)															
Percentage of teacher, parent and student agreement that: students are safe at school, are learning the importance of caring for others, are learning respect for others and are treated fairly in school.															
	School					Authority					Province				
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Overall	91.1	86.5	95.9	93.6	92.7	80.4	78.5	82.8	83.8	83.2	84.4	84.2	85.1	86.9	87.6
Teacher	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	97.8	88.0	87.9	90.7	92.9	92.1	92.8	92.6	93.1	93.8	94.4
Parent	92.0	79.6	89.9	90.0	93.1	80.2	74.4	80.2	78.2	80.6	82.1	81.7	83.2	85.3	86.1
Student	81.4	80.0	97.9	90.9	87.3	73.0	73.3	77.5	80.4	76.8	78.4	78.5	79.1	81.7	82.2



Note: Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents is less than 6. Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).

School: 4103 Condor Elementary School

Outcome: The education system meets the needs of all K–12 students and supports our society and the economy.

Performance Measure	Results (in percentages)					Target	Evaluation			Targets		
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2010	Achievement	Improvement	Overall	2011	2012	2013
Percentage of teachers, parents and students satisfied with the opportunity for students to receive a broad program of studies including fine arts, career, technology, and health and physical education.	70.6	75.2	80.3	66.5	68.2		Low	Maintained	Issue	75	78	80
Percentage of teachers, parents and students satisfied with the overall quality of basic education.	89.1	86.6	92.1	94.4	88.0		High	Maintained	Good	88	89	90

Comment on Results (OPTIONAL)


(an assessment of progress toward achieving the target)

Strategies

- include a fine arts option for grade 7 (art/ drama)
- focus on the fine arts with an Artist in Residency program every 2 years, securing a grant from Alberta Arts foundation
- increased exposure to performing arts throughout entire school year
- weekly after school dance program for gr. 1-5
- participation in the Music Alive Program for gr. 4-6
- career day with a purpose for grades 4-7 every second year
- RCMP DARE program
- development and implementation of our Comprehensive School Health Plan
- health class/ program in every classroom
- 160 minutes of Phys Ed weekly
- roller blading program for all students in mid-winter
- student participation in monthly assemblies


School: 4103 Condor Elementary School

Measure Details (OPTIONAL)															
Percentage of teachers, parents and students satisfied with the opportunity for students to receive a broad program of studies including fine arts, career, technology, and health and physical education.															
	School					Authority					Province				
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Overall	70.6	75.2	80.3	66.5	68.2	71.8	68.4	73.4	74.5	71.9	78.1	78.5	79.4	80.3	80.5
Teacher	73.4	81.7	85.9	83.5	81.2	77.9	76.3	82.0	80.9	79.0	85.2	85.7	86.4	86.8	87.7
Parent	74.7	73.3	77.4	60.4	62.2	72.4	68.0	70.3	69.9	69.6	76.6	76.9	77.6	78.7	78.0
Student	63.6	70.5	77.6	55.6	61.2	65.1	60.9	67.9	72.8	67.1	72.6	72.9	74.1	75.3	75.9



Note: Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents is less than 6. Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).

Measure Details (OPTIONAL)															
Percentage of teachers, parents and students satisfied with the overall quality of basic education.															
	School					Authority					Province				
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Overall	89.1	86.6	92.1	94.4	88.0	86.5	84.4	87.1	87.4	86.2	87.7	87.6	88.2	89.3	89.2
Teacher	100.0	96.3	100.0	100.0	94.3	92.6	92.8	95.9	94.8	95.1	94.8	94.7	94.9	95.3	95.6
Parent	71.7	70.0	78.1	86.9	81.3	82.2	77.0	79.9	78.7	78.9	81.6	81.8	83.0	84.4	83.9
Student	95.6	93.5	98.2	96.3	88.4	84.8	83.3	85.4	88.9	84.5	86.6	86.4	86.6	88.3	88.2



Note: Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents is less than 6. Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).

Goal Two: Excellence in Student Learning Outcomes

Outcome: Students demonstrate high standards in learner outcomes.

Performance Measure	Results (in percentages)					Target	Evaluation			Targets		
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2010	Achievement	Improvement	Overall	2011	2012	2013
Overall percentage of students in Grades 3, 6 and 9 who achieved the acceptable standard on provincial achievement tests.	85.7	77.4	88.1	76.4	84.5		Intermediate	Maintained	Acceptable	86	87	88
Overall percentage of students in Grades 3, 6 and 9 who achieved the standard of excellence on provincial achievement tests.	24.5	12.9	15.3	5.5	8.6		Very Low	Maintained	Concern	12	15	18

<p>Comment on Results (OPTIONAL) <i>(an assessment of progress toward achieving the target)</i></p>
<p>Strategies -focus on Exemplary teaching strategies to improve student learning -21st Century skill focus on Engaged Thinking, utilizing SMART learning initiative in classrooms -effective use of our AISI/DC coach alongside teachers in the areas of lifelong learning, classroom supporting and learning facilitation -peer teaching opportunities through AISI coaches -outcomes-based instruction and reporting practices -collaboration of professionals/ colleagues to develop inquiry based lessons -development of self reflection strategies and self assessments of and for learning -studying 21ST Century Skills -careful monitoring of student learning through time and support program, also bringing students on cusp of excellence to be challenged to deepen their thinking and learning</p>

Notes:


1. Aggregated PAT results are based upon a weighted average of percent meeting standards (Acceptable, Excellence). The weights are the number of students enrolled in each course. Courses included: English Language Arts (Grades 3, 6, 9), Science (Grades 6, 9), French Language Arts (Grades 6, 9), Français (Grades 6, 9). The percentages achieving the acceptable standard include the percentages achieving the standard of excellence.
2. Aggregated Diploma results are a weighted average of percent meeting standards (Acceptable, Excellence) on Diploma Examinations. The weights are the number of students writing the Diploma Examination for each course. Courses included: English Language Arts 30-1, English Language Arts 30-2, French Language Arts 30-1, Français 30-1, Pure Mathematics 30, Applied Mathematics 30, Biology 30, Science 30. The percentages achieving the acceptable standard include the percentages achieving the standard of excellence.
3. Diploma Examination Participation rates are based upon a cohort of grade 10 students who are tracked over time.
4. Please note that the rules for Rutherford Scholarships changed in 2008, which increased the number of students eligible for Rutherford Scholarships. The history for the measure has been re-computed to allow for trends to be identified.
5. Data values have been suppressed where the number of students is less than 6. Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).

School: 4103 Condor Elementary School


Measure Details (OPTIONAL)													
PAT Course by Course Results by Number Enrolled.													
		Results (in percentages)										Target	
		2006		2007		2008		2009		2010		2010	
		A	E	A	E	A	E	A	E	A	E	A	E
English Language Arts 3	School	80.0	20.0	90.9	18.2	91.3	8.7	87.0	4.3	94.4	16.7		
	Authority	85.3	11.4	84.0	12.8	86.8	12.2	86.4	11.2	84.2	16.9		
	Province	81.3	14.1	80.3	17.7	80.1	16.1	81.3	18.2	81.6	19.5		
Mathematics 3	School	80.0	26.7	90.9	13.6	82.6	8.7	87.0	17.4	n/a	n/a		
	Authority	87.2	35.3	88.0	23.7	84.7	21.6	88.6	24.7	78.3	14.2		
	Province	82.0	26.9	79.9	23.5	78.7	23.9	79.7	26.8	76.4	24.8		
English Language Arts 6	School	88.2	5.9	65.0	15.0	94.4	27.8	81.3	12.5	90.0	5.0		
	Authority	81.6	13.9	83.3	16.9	84.6	17.7	88.6	17.1	83.0	13.1		
	Province	79.0	15.9	80.3	19.8	81.1	21.0	81.8	18.9	83.3	18.9		
Mathematics 6	School	70.6	23.5	85.0	5.0	88.9	11.1	56.3	0.0	n/a	n/a		
	Authority	78.1	12.8	75.3	12.1	75.3	14.1	83.2	17.4	74.2	14.6		
	Province	75.2	15.6	74.0	14.5	74.6	15.9	76.6	16.8	74.3	16.5		
Science 6	School	88.2	47.1	75.0	5.0	77.8	11.1	56.3	0.0	70.0	5.0		
	Authority	84.0	29.3	74.3	21.6	77.6	22.2	80.4	25.9	79.4	22.5		
	Province	78.1	27.7	75.2	26.6	74.8	24.1	76.5	24.8	76.8	26.4		
Social Studies 6	School	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	60.0	10.0		
	Authority	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	71.3	13.1		
	Province	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	71.0	16.4		

Note: Data values have been suppressed where the number of students is less than 6. Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).

** "A" = Acceptable; "E" = Excellence — the percentages achieving the acceptable standard include the percentages achieving the standard of excellence.






Graph of Provincial Achievement Test Results by Course (optional)



Note: Data values have been suppressed where the number of students is less than 6. Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).

School: 4103 Condor Elementary School

Graph of Provincial Achievement Test Results by Course (optional)



Note: Data values have been suppressed where the number of students is less than 6. Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).

School: 4103 Condor Elementary School

PAT Results Course By Course Summary By Enrolled With Measure Evaluation (optional)

		Condor Elementary School						Alberta				
		Achievement	Improvement	Overall	2010		Prev 3 Yr Avg		2010		Prev 3 Yr Avg	
Course	Measure				N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
English Language Arts 3	Acceptable Standard	Very High	Maintained	Excellent	18	94.4	23	89.7	42,061	81.6	42,181	80.6
	Standard of Excellence	Intermediate	Maintained	Acceptable	18	16.7	23	10.4	42,061	19.5	42,181	17.3
English Language Arts 6	Acceptable Standard	High	Maintained	Good	20	90.0	18	80.2	43,599	83.3	44,064	81.1
	Standard of Excellence	Very Low	Declined	Concern	20	5.0	18	18.4	43,599	18.9	44,064	19.9
Science 6	Acceptable Standard	Low	Maintained	Issue	20	70.0	18	69.7	43,509	76.8	43,945	75.5
	Standard of Excellence	Very Low	Maintained	Concern	20	5.0	18	5.4	43,509	26.4	43,945	25.2

Note: Data values have been suppressed where the number of students is less than 6. Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).

Too few jurisdictions offer Français (Grades 6, 9) for an Achievement Evaluation to be calculated. However, the results from Français (Grades 6, 9) are included in the aggregation of all PAT courses.

Evaluations for Mathematics (Grades 3, 6, 9) and Social Studies (Grades 6, 9) are not calculated due to the changes in the tests.

School: 4103 Condor Elementary School

Measure Evaluation Reference - Achievement Evaluation

Achievement evaluation is based upon a comparison of Current Year data to a set of standards which remain consistent over time. The Standards are calculated by taking the 3 year average of baseline data for each measure across all school jurisdictions and calculating the 5th, 25th, 75th, and 95th percentiles. Once calculated, these standards remain in place from year to year to allow for consistent planning and evaluation.

The table below shows the range of values defining the 5 achievement evaluation levels for each measure.

Course	Measure	Very Low	Low	Intermediate	High	Very High
English Language Arts 3	Acceptable Standard	0.00 - 72.53	72.53 - 80.49	80.49 - 89.12	89.12 - 93.04	93.04 - 100.00
	Standard of Excellence	0.00 - 6.06	6.06 - 11.35	11.35 - 16.93	16.93 - 20.27	20.27 - 100.00
English Language Arts 6	Acceptable Standard	0.00 - 67.95	67.95 - 78.40	78.40 - 86.09	86.09 - 91.37	91.37 - 100.00
	Standard of Excellence	0.00 - 6.83	6.83 - 11.65	11.65 - 17.36	17.36 - 22.46	22.46 - 100.00
French Language Arts 6	Acceptable Standard	0.00 - 41.69	41.69 - 73.54	73.54 - 92.32	92.32 - 97.93	97.93 - 100.00
	Standard of Excellence	0.00 - 2.72	2.72 - 8.13	8.13 - 15.29	15.29 - 23.86	23.86 - 100.00
Science 6	Acceptable Standard	0.00 - 60.36	60.36 - 78.51	78.51 - 86.46	86.46 - 90.64	90.64 - 100.00
	Standard of Excellence	0.00 - 11.74	11.74 - 17.42	17.42 - 25.34	25.34 - 34.31	34.31 - 100.00
English Language Arts 9	Acceptable Standard	0.00 - 63.55	63.55 - 75.66	75.66 - 83.70	83.70 - 90.27	90.27 - 100.00
	Standard of Excellence	0.00 - 5.96	5.96 - 9.43	9.43 - 14.72	14.72 - 20.46	20.46 - 100.00
French Language Arts 9	Acceptable Standard	0.00 - 67.59	67.59 - 81.33	81.33 - 92.06	92.06 - 97.26	97.26 - 100.00
	Standard of Excellence	0.00 - 1.67	1.67 - 6.81	6.81 - 17.11	17.11 - 28.68	28.68 - 100.00
Science 9	Acceptable Standard	0.00 - 50.57	50.57 - 60.14	60.14 - 72.50	72.50 - 76.89	76.89 - 100.00
	Standard of Excellence	0.00 - 3.39	3.39 - 6.71	6.71 - 11.81	11.81 - 15.85	15.85 - 100.00

Notes:

The range of values at each evaluation level is interpreted as greater than or equal to the lower value, and less than the higher value. For the Very High evaluation level, values range from greater than the lower value to 100%.

Too few jurisdictions offer Français (Grades 6, 9) for an Achievement Evaluation to be calculated. However, the results from Français (Grades 6, 9) are included in the aggregation of all PAT courses.

Evaluations for Mathematics (Grades 3, 6, 9) and Social Studies (Grades 6, 9) are not calculated due to the changes in the tests.

Improvement Table

For each jurisdiction, improvement evaluation consists of comparing the Current Year result for each measure with the previous three-year average. A chi-square statistical test is used to determine the significance of the improvement. This test takes into account the size of the jurisdiction in the calculation to make improvement evaluation fair across jurisdictions of different sizes.

The table below shows the definition of the 5 improvement evaluation levels based upon the chi-square result.

Evaluation Category	Chi-Square Range
Declined Significantly	3.84 + (current < previous 3-year average)
Declined	1.00 - 3.83 (current < previous 3-year average)
Maintained	less than 1.00
Improved	1.00 - 3.83 (current > previous 3-year average)
Improved Significantly	3.84 + (current > previous 3-year average)

Overall Evaluation Table

The overall evaluation combines the Achievement Evaluation and the Improvement Evaluation. The table below illustrates how the Achievement and Improvement evaluations are combined to get the overall evaluation.

	Achievement				
	Very High	High	Intermediate	Low	Very Low
Improved Significantly	Excellent	Good	Good	Good	Acceptable
Improved	Excellent	Good	Good	Acceptable	Issue
Maintained	Excellent	Good	Acceptable	Issue	Concern
Declined	Good	Acceptable	Issue	Issue	Concern
Declined Significantly	Acceptable	Issue	Issue	Concern	Concern

School: 4103 Condor Elementary School

Performance Measure		Results (in percentages)					Target
		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2010
Participation rates in provincial achievement tests (PATs) for Grades 3, 6 and 9.							
English Language Arts 3	School	100.0	95.5	100.0	95.7	94.4	
	Authority	94.6	95.2	96.2	94.7	97.0	
	Province	90.6	90.1	89.8	90.6	91.1	
Mathematics 3	School	100.0	100.0	95.7	95.7	n/a	
	Authority	95.4	96.0	95.4	95.2	96.2	
	Province	90.8	90.5	90.5	91.2	88.3	
English Language Arts 6	School	100.0	100.0	100.0	93.8	100.0	
	Authority	96.0	93.1	92.3	95.1	91.1	
	Province	90.1	89.6	89.5	90.0	90.7	
Mathematics 6	School	100.0	100.0	100.0	87.5	n/a	
	Authority	96.3	92.5	92.8	95.1	91.0	
	Province	90.6	90.0	90.0	90.6	88.3	
Science 6	School	100.0	100.0	100.0	93.8	100.0	
	Authority	96.3	92.0	93.0	94.0	92.2	
	Province	90.0	89.1	89.0	89.6	90.5	
Social Studies 6	School	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	100.0	
	Authority	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	92.4	
	Province	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	90.3	
	Authority	89.1	87.4	90.6	87.9	91.0	
	Province	87.3	88.0	88.0	89.8	86.8	

Measure Evaluation Reference - Achievement Evaluation

Achievement evaluation is based upon a comparison of Current Year data to a set of standards which remain consistent over time. The Standards are calculated by taking the 3 year average of baseline data for each measure across all school jurisdictions and calculating the 5th, 25th, 75th, and 95th percentiles. Once calculated, these standards remain in place from year to year to allow for consistent planning and evaluation.

The table below shows the range of values defining the 5 achievement evaluation levels for each measure.

Course	Measure	Very Low	Low	Intermediate	High	Very High
English Lang Arts 30-1	Acceptable Standard	0.00 - 83.76	83.76 - 92.02	92.02 - 95.13	95.13 - 100.00	100.00 - 100.00
	Standard of Excellence	0.00 - 6.22	6.22 - 13.46	13.46 - 20.88	20.88 - 27.39	27.39 - 100.00
English Lang Arts 30-2	Acceptable Standard	0.00 - 70.83	70.83 - 82.43	82.43 - 90.72	90.72 - 96.00	96.00 - 100.00
	Standard of Excellence	0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 3.13	3.13 - 8.65	8.65 - 11.61	11.61 - 100.00
French Lang Arts 30-1	Acceptable Standard	0.00 - 77.27	77.27 - 93.33	93.33 - 100.00	100.00 - 100.00	100.00 - 100.00
	Standard of Excellence	0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 5.49	5.49 - 19.84	19.84 - 28.00	28.00 - 100.00
Pure Mathematics 30	Acceptable Standard	0.00 - 54.07	54.07 - 76.74	76.74 - 86.06	86.06 - 92.18	92.18 - 100.00
	Standard of Excellence	0.00 - 6.15	6.15 - 18.46	18.46 - 29.38	29.38 - 34.62	34.62 - 100.00
Applied Mathematics 30	Acceptable Standard	0.00 - 73.06	73.06 - 80.94	80.94 - 90.03	90.03 - 91.69	91.69 - 100.00
	Standard of Excellence	0.00 - 4.57	4.57 - 10.29	10.29 - 16.08	16.08 - 23.77	23.77 - 100.00
Biology 30	Acceptable Standard	0.00 - 67.51	67.51 - 78.03	78.03 - 85.82	85.82 - 89.41	89.41 - 100.00
	Standard of Excellence	0.00 - 12.33	12.33 - 19.00	19.00 - 25.60	25.60 - 30.05	30.05 - 100.00
Science 30	Acceptable Standard	0.00 - 76.11	76.11 - 83.33	83.33 - 91.76	91.76 - 97.14	97.14 - 100.00
	Standard of Excellence	0.00 - 6.98	6.98 - 11.36	11.36 - 21.80	21.80 - 36.81	36.81 - 100.00

Notes:

The range of values at each evaluation level is interpreted as greater than or equal to the lower value, and less than the higher value. For the Very High category, values range from greater than the lower value to 100%.

Too few jurisdictions offer Français 30-1 for an Achievement Evaluation to be calculated. However, the results from Français 30-1 are included in the aggregation of all Diploma courses.

Achievement Evaluations for Physics 30 and Chemistry 30 are not calculated due to the changes in the examinations in 2009. Evaluations for Social Studies (30, 33, 30-1, 30-2) are not calculated due to the changes in the examinations in 2010.

Improvement Table

For each jurisdiction, improvement evaluation consists of comparing the Current Year result for each measure with the previous three-year average. A chi-square statistical test is used to determine the significance of the improvement. This test takes into account the size of the jurisdiction in the calculation to make improvement evaluation fair across jurisdictions of different sizes.

The table below shows the definition of the 5 improvement evaluation levels based upon the chi-square result.

Evaluation Category	Chi-Square Range
Declined Significantly	3.84 + (current < previous 3-year average)
Declined	1.00 - 3.83 (current < previous 3-year average)
Maintained	less than 1.00
Improved	1.00 - 3.83 (current > previous 3-year average)
Improved Significantly	3.84 + (current > previous 3-year average)

Overall Evaluation Table

The overall evaluation combines the Achievement Evaluation and the Improvement Evaluation. The table below illustrates how the Achievement and Improvement evaluations are combined to get the overall evaluation.

	Achievement				
	Very High	High	Intermediate	Low	Very Low
Improved Significantly	Excellent	Good	Good	Good	Acceptable
Improved	Excellent	Good	Good	Acceptable	Issue
Maintained	Excellent	Good	Acceptable	Issue	Concern
Declined	Good	Acceptable	Issue	Issue	Concern
Declined Significantly	Acceptable	Issue	Issue	Concern	Concern

Outcome: Students are well prepared for employment.

Performance Measure	Results (in percentages)					Target	Evaluation			Targets		
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010		2010	Achievement	Improvement	Overall	2011	2012
Percentages of teachers and parents who agree that students are taught attitudes and behaviours that will make them successful at work when they finish school.	65.0	77.8	76.3	75.0	74.3		Intermediate	Maintained	Acceptable	75	76	77

Comment on Results (OPTIONAL)

(an assessment of progress toward achieving the target)

Strategies


-focus on 21st Century Skills of Engaged Thinker, Ethical Citizenship and Entrepreneurial Spirit
 -expose students to Career opportunities, skills, attitudes and behaviours within a Career Day

Measure Details (OPTIONAL)


Percentages of teachers and parents who agree that students are taught attitudes and behaviours that will make them successful at work when they finish school.

	School					Authority					Province				
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Overall	65.0	77.8	76.3	75.0	74.3	72.5	71.5	76.8	76.2	72.9	77.0	77.1	80.1	79.6	79.9
Teacher	100.0	100.0	90.0	100.0	87.5	84.0	84.2	89.2	91.0	90.0	89.4	89.2	89.3	88.9	90.0
Parent	30.0	55.6	62.5	50.0	61.1	61.0	58.7	64.3	61.5	55.9	64.6	65.1	70.9	70.2	69.8

Graph of Overall School Results (optional)



Graph of Detailed School Results (optional)



Note: Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents is less than 6. Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).

School: 4103 Condor Elementary School

Outcome: Students model the characteristics of active citizenship.

Performance Measure	Results (in percentages)					Target	Evaluation			Targets		
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2010	Achievement	Improvement	Overall	2011	2012	2013
Percentages of teachers, parents and students who are satisfied that students model the characteristics of active citizenship.	88.4	82.7	93.5	92.0	84.9		Very High	Maintained	Excellent	86	86	86

Comment on Results (OPTIONAL)

(an assessment of progress in relation to past performance)


Strategies

- begin Roots of Empathy program with grade 2
- begin and foster gr. 7 Leadership training
- develop character education plan within school
- focus on outreach and service possibilities in each classroom

Measure Details (OPTIONAL)

Percentages of teachers, parents and students who are satisfied that students model the characteristics of active citizenship.

	School					Authority					Province				
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Overall	88.4	82.7	93.5	92.0	84.9	69.7	68.5	72.0	75.1	75.1	76.8	76.6	77.9	80.3	81.4
Teacher	97.5	97.8	100.0	100.0	91.1	81.0	81.3	84.0	88.6	91.4	90.3	89.9	90.6	91.8	93.0
Parent	87.8	74.0	82.5	88.6	85.6	66.5	63.2	65.6	66.6	68.4	72.4	72.6	74.7	77.4	78.5
Student	79.8	76.4	97.9	87.5	77.9	61.7	61.1	66.3	70.0	65.4	67.5	67.1	68.5	71.8	72.7



Note: Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents is less than 6. Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).

Also report targets and results for all local school/authority measures related to Goal 2 (minimum one year of results – authorities are encouraged to provide multi-year results for trend analysis).

Goal Four: Highly Responsive and Responsible Jurisdiction

Outcome: The jurisdiction demonstrates effective working relationships.

Performance Measure	Results (in percentages)					Target	Evaluation			Targets		
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2010	Achievement	Improvement	Overall	2011	2012	2013
Percentage of teachers and parents satisfied with parental involvement in decisions about their child's education.	87.2	88.7	81.6	76.2	70.7		Very Low	Maintained	Concern	75	78	80

Comment on Results (OPTIONAL)

(an assessment of progress toward achieving the target)

Strategies


- encouragement of parental involvement on School Council and attendance of monthly meetings
- giving parents a distinct role to play with areas such as IPP meetings, Parent-teacher conferences
- scheduling time for all parents to attend PT conferences rather than leaving these open ended.
- holding a tri-council meeting annually for parents of the local three schools in area

Measure Details (OPTIONAL)


Percentage of teachers and parents satisfied with parental involvement in decisions about their child's education.

	School					Authority					Province				
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Overall	87.2	88.7	81.6	76.2	70.7	77.8	75.2	80.0	79.4	76.8	77.9	77.5	78.2	80.1	80.0
Teacher	100.0	97.8	100.0	93.9	93.0	84.9	86.1	90.1	90.0	88.6	87.6	87.1	87.5	88.0	88.6
Parent	74.5	79.5	63.3	58.6	48.3	70.7	64.3	69.9	68.8	65.0	68.1	67.9	69.0	72.2	71.3

Graph of Overall School Results (optional)



Graph of Detailed School Results (optional)



Note: Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents is less than 6. Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).

School: 4103 Condor Elementary School

Outcome: The jurisdiction demonstrates leadership, innovation and continuous improvement.

Performance Measure	Results (in percentages)					Target	Evaluation			Targets		
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2010	Achievement	Improvement	Overall	2011	2012	2013
Percentages of teachers, parents and students indicating that their school and schools in their jurisdiction have improved or stayed the same the last three years.	89.8	82.3	95.0	82.7	81.8		Very High	Maintained	Excellent	82	84	85


Comment on Results (OPTIONAL)
(an assessment of progress toward achieving the target)

Strategies
 -different leadership directions
 -focus on Exemplary teaching practice-foundational statements and beliefs
 -researched based practices
 -SMART learning initiatives
 -Galileo initiative
 -AISI coaching initiative

Measure Details (OPTIONAL)

Percentages of teachers, parents and students indicating that their school and schools in their jurisdiction have improved or stayed the same the last three years.

	School					Authority					Province				
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Overall	89.8	82.3	95.0	82.7	81.8	74.0	67.0	75.2	79.0	76.5	76.8	76.3	77.0	79.4	79.9
Teacher	100.0	100.0	100.0	90.0	88.9	75.0	62.1	73.6	83.2	77.2	75.5	74.5	75.6	78.2	80.8
Parent	77.8	66.7	87.5	69.2	77.8	72.2	66.2	74.4	71.9	74.7	75.4	75.1	75.9	78.1	77.0
Student	91.7	80.4	97.4	88.9	78.7	74.9	72.7	77.7	81.7	77.6	79.4	79.3	79.5	81.8	81.8



Note: Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents is less than 6. Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).

Also report targets and results for all local school/authority measures related to Goal 4 (minimum one year of results – authorities are encouraged to provide multi-year results for trend analysis).

In addition, please include targets and results on measures in relation to local goals and outcomes (minimum one year of results – authorities are encouraged to provide multi-year results for trend analysis).